



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/917,044	08/19/97	FEHN	G 037-129A

IM71/0721

EUGENE F FRIEDMAN  
FRIEDMAN & FRIEDMAN LTD  
MONADNOCK BUILDING SUITE 1633  
53 WEST JACKSON BOULEVARD  
CHICAGO IL 60604

EXAMINER

HAYES, J

ART UNIT

1772

PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 07/21/98

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

## Interview Summary

Application No.  
08/917,044

Applicant(s)  
Fehn

Examiner  
Jennifer Hayes

Group Art Unit  
1772

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jennifer Hayes

(3) Nassar Ahmad

(2) Eugene Friedman

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Jul 15, 1998

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☒ Applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-59

Identification of prior art discussed:

Avery, Moore, Mehta, and Pearson

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed proposed amendment to claim language to distinguish over the art of record including addition of "barrier layer" where there is a PCR layer and layer and/or film "formed on the surface of ... in intimate contact with ...". Also discussed differences between "scrap" and PCR. Regarding 112 rejections, in claim 15 Applicant will add -post consumer recycled polyethylene- in front of "resin" for clarity.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

*Eugene Friedman*

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.